

Reclaiming Lumbar Lordosis through Meditative Postures and Wall-Assisted Yogic Realignment: An Autoethnographic Study

Jyoti Gupta¹, Dr. Shashikant Mani Tripathi² & Dr. Akhilesh Kumar Singh³

1. PhD aspirant Department of Yog, SAM Global University, Bhopal, INDIA ,
2. Associate professor Department of Yog, SAM Global University, Bhopal, INDIA
3. Dean Ayurveda and Dean Research, Department of Yog, SAM Global University Bhopal

Abstract

Flat back syndrome, characterized by a loss of the normal lumbar lordosis and a neutral or posterior pelvic tilt[1], can lead to pain and functional limitations. This paper presents an autoethnographic case study of a 47-year-old yoga practitioner with generational gluteal and thigh muscle weakness who successfully improved her spinal curvature and sitting endurance through prop-supported meditative yoga practice. The intervention combined prolonged meditative asanas (e.g. Siddhasana, Swastikasana, Mandukasana, Bhadrasana, Guptasana) using supportive props under the sacrum and tailbone, wall-assisted alignment sitting in meditative posture and exercises, and gradual strengthening with Hathayoga asanas. Over several months, the practitioner restored a healthy sacral tilt and lumbar curve, accompanied by increased gluteal strength and core stability. The long-duration supported poses facilitated fascial plasticity and neuromuscular re-education, while the holistic practice corrected biotensegrity imbalances in the body. Improvements were documented in spinal alignment, reduced discomfort, and enhanced meditative sitting tolerance. This case highlights how sustained, mindful yoga therapy – tailored with props and alignment techniques – can induce adaptive physiological changes. The outcomes underscore the roles of fascia remodeling, motor control adaptation, and tensegrity-based realignment in recovering from flat back syndrome. The results, though from a single case, suggest a non-invasive therapeutic avenue for similar postural issues, meriting further research.

Keywords: Flat Back Syndrome; Lumbar Lordosis; Prop-Supported Yoga; Fascia Plasticity; Biotensegrity; Autoethnography.

Introduction

Flat back syndrome is a postural deformity in which the normal anterior curvature of the lumbar spine (lordosis) is markedly reduced or absent[1]. In a classic flat-back posture, the lumbar lordosis and lower thoracic kyphosis are flattened, and the pelvis assumes a neutral or decreased anterior tilt. This altered sagittal profile can shift the body's center of gravity forward, often resulting in compensatory upper-back hyperkyphosis and forward head posture[1]. Individuals with flat back

syndrome commonly experience muscular imbalances and discomfort: the lumbar extensor muscles and hip flexors become overstretched and weakened, while the gluteus maximus and hamstrings are abnormally shortened yet underactive[1]. Indeed, in flat-back posture the gluteus maximus tends to be hypoactive (weak) and the hamstrings tight and hyperactive, contributing to a lack of pelvic support[1]. Such imbalances disrupt the normal force distribution across the spine and hips, increasing the risk of pain.

Flat back syndrome may arise from various causes, including spinal surgery, degenerative disc disease, or habitual poor posture. In this case, the practitioner's condition was attributed to long-term muscle weaknesses and adaptive habits developed over generations (a familial tendency toward weak gluteal and thigh musculature). Gluteus maximus weakness is known to undermine lumbopelvic support – the gluteus maximus is the body's largest muscle and critical for maintaining upright posture[2]. When it is inhibited or weak, as in this case, the pelvis is not adequately stabilized, often resulting in postural problems and chronic back pain[2]. The practitioner reported difficulty sitting upright and an inability to maintain the natural lumbar curve, especially during meditation or prolonged sitting, due to early fatigue in the postural muscles.

Conventional management of flat back syndrome ranges from physical therapy to surgical correction in severe cases. Recent evidence shows that non-operative interventions can significantly restore lumbar curvature. For example, Harrison and Oakley (2018) documented improvements of 26°–50° in lumbar lordosis over ~4–5 months using sustained lumbar extension traction in two cases[3]. Such results underscore the plasticity of the spine and supporting tissues when subjected to consistent mechanical stimulus. Yoga therapy offers a holistic, conservative approach that integrates strengthening, stretching, and alignment. Regular yoga practice has been shown to enhance muscular strength and flexibility while improving postural awareness[2]. In particular, Iyengar-style yoga, known for its use of props, enables practitioners to achieve proper alignment and hold poses longer, which can be therapeutic for postural conditions[2]. Props (blocks, bolsters, walls, etc.) support the body so that one can engage in poses that would otherwise be difficult to maintain[2]. This

is especially relevant in flat back syndrome, where tightness and weakness may prevent sitting upright with a lordotic lumbar curve. By using supports under the pelvis or against the back, a practitioner can experience the optimal alignment passively and gradually build the capacity to maintain it actively.

Against this background, the present report examines an autoethnographic case study of a yoga practitioner who self-implemented a prop-supported meditative yoga regimen to address flat back syndrome. Autoethnography entails systematic self-observation and analysis of one's experiences, providing an in-depth qualitative perspective. The goals of this case study were twofold: (1) to describe the step-by-step therapeutic yoga strategy employed to restore the sacral tilt and lumbar curve, and (2) to explore the physiological factors – fascia plasticity, neuromuscular adaptation, and biotensegrity (connective-tissue force balance) – that underpinned the observed improvements. We hypothesized that long-duration supported asanas would allow connective tissue remodeling and improved muscle activation patterns, resulting in a corrected posture and increased comfort in sitting meditation. Ultimately, this paper aims to inform yoga therapists and clinicians of a novel, patient-driven approach for flat back syndrome, contributing to the growing evidence base for yoga therapy in structural rehabilitation.

Methods

Study Design and Participant

This study is presented as an autoethnographic single-case report. The participant is the author, a 47-year-old female yoga practitioner and instructor with a lifelong history of postural difficulties. Notably, she identified a pattern of gluteal and thigh muscle weakness spanning at least two generations in her family, suggesting a developmental or lifestyle component to her condition. By her mid-40s, she

exhibited a classic flat-back posture with markedly reduced lumbar lordosis and could not sit comfortably in cross-legged meditation without slumping. She also reported low back ache and early fatigue after short periods of sitting or standing, consistent with flat back syndrome symptoms. No spinal surgeries or major structural pathologies were present – the posture was deemed a flexible (non-fixed) sagittal imbalance, making it amenable to therapeutic exercise. The self-study was conducted over approximately 12+ months, during which the practitioner documented her practice regimen, physical changes, and subjective experiences in a practice journal. Periodic photographs and video recordings of her posture and asana practice were also used to track progress objectively.

Intervention: Prop-Supported Meditative Asana Program

The intervention combined prop-assisted alignment in static meditative poses with targeted strengthening and stretching exercises drawn from Hatha Yoga. The overall strategy was to first use props and external support to achieve an anatomically optimal sitting posture (allowing the body to relearn the curved lumbar position), and subsequently to develop the muscular support and flexibility to maintain that posture without props. The key components of the program were:

1. Prop-Supported Meditative Postures: The practitioner practiced five traditional meditative asanas – Siddhasana (Accomplished Pose), Swastikasana (Auspicious Pose), Mandukasana (Frog Pose variation for sitting), Bhadrasana (Gracious Pose), and Guptasana – for extended durations (15–30 minutes each), using props to ensure proper alignment. Due to initial weakness in the thigh and knee muscles, Padmasana (Lotus Pose) was intentionally omitted from the asana practice during the early stages of the journey. Practitioner always sit with wall support, and a firm cushion or folded

blanket was placed under the tailbone and sacrum in cross-legged poses (Siddhasana, Swastikasana) to elevate the hips and induce a gentle anterior pelvic tilt. This support under the sit bones allowed the lumbar spine to retain a slight inward curve (“neutral spine” posture)[3]. In kneeling poses (Mandukasana, Bhadrasana, Guptasana), a yoga bolster was positioned between the heels or under the buttocks as needed to prevent posterior pelvic tilt. Additionally, a small lumbar roll (a rolled towel) was placed between wall and the lower back in sitting, reinforcing the inward curve. By using these props, the practitioner could sit upright comfortably, without straining tight muscles. This enabled longer meditation sessions in proper alignment. Notably, the use of props made it possible to hold these poses long enough to promote tissue adaptation – as Iyengar-style yoga therapy suggests, supports help one sustain poses safely for therapeutic benefit[4]. Each meditative pose was held with relaxed breathing and mindfulness, starting with shorter durations (5–10 minutes) and gradually increasing to 30+ minutes as tolerance improved.

2. Wall and floor-Supported Alignment Practice: To build awareness of correct posture, the practitioner incorporated daily wall alignment exercises. One exercise involved standing with her back against a wall: heels 5–10 cm from the wall, sacrum and lower back pressed against the wall (with a small gap at the low back to mimic natural lordosis), and the back of the head touching the wall (to correct forward head). This “wall test” position gave proprioceptive feedback of proper alignment. She practiced sliding the pelvis slightly anteriorly (away from the wall) while keeping the sacrum in contact, to encourage a small lordotic curve. Another exercise was the supine floor alignment check: lying on the back with knees bent, she would place her hands under her lower back to gauge the curvature, then perform gentle pelvic tilts

(anterior and posterior) to find a neutral spine position. The wall and floor practices, done for ~5 minutes each, reinforced kinesthetic understanding of the target pelvic tilt and spine curvature that she aimed to maintain in sitting and daily activities.

3. Gradual Strengthening with Hatha Yoga Asanas: Alongside static poses, a sequence of dynamic yoga asanas was practiced to strengthen the weakened muscles (glutes, core, hip flexors) and stretch tightened areas (hamstrings, hip flexors). The practitioner focused on Hatha Yoga poses known to engage the posterior chain and core. Key exercises included: Bridge Pose (SetuBandhasana) and variations – to activate and strengthen gluteus maximus and hamstrings; Locust Pose (Salabhasana) – to strengthen the entire back line including paraspinals and glutes; Warrior I and II (Virabhadrasana I/II) – lunging poses strengthening glutes, quadriceps, and promoting an upright pelvis; and Chair Pose (Utkatasana) – a semi-squat stance developing glutes and core stability. These were complemented by specific therapeutic exercises off the mat, such as clamshells (side-lying hip abductions with resistance band) to target the gluteus medius/maximus, and bird-dog (contralateral limb extensions from hands-and-knees) to train spinal stabilizers. Core exercises like planks and dead bugs were also included for abdominal and lumbar support. Each yoga session (3–4 times per week) began with gentle sun salutations (Surya Namaskar) to warm up, during which special attention was given to maintaining a neutral pelvic tilt in transitions like upward dog and forward folds. Emphasis was placed on form rather than depth – e.g. in forward bends, she would micro-bend knees and hinge at hips to avoid excessive spinal flexion. Over time, as strength and flexibility improved, the practitioner could deepen her poses and hold them longer without support. The strengthening aspect ensured that the previously weak muscles were

reconditioned, enabling them to support the lumbar curve actively.

4. Fascial Stretching and Flexibility

Work: To address fascial and muscular tightness that contributed to flat back posture, the program incorporated slow, sustained stretches. Long-hold forward bends with support (such as seated forward fold with a strap, held ~3 minutes) were used to gently lengthen the hamstrings and thoracolumbar fascia in a controlled manner. Low lunges (Anjaneyasana) were performed to stretch hip flexors (psoas, rectus femoris) which can tilt the pelvis when tight. Each stretch was done mindfully with deep breathing, respecting limits to avoid triggering muscle guarding. The approach was akin to Yin Yoga in emphasizing sustained, mild tension to facilitate fascial plasticity – the ability of connective tissue to remodel when subjected to continuous stretch[5]. Recent insights in fascia research suggest that a stretch held for several minutes can induce viscoelastic and cellular changes in fascial tissues, increasing their length and reducing stiffness[6]. By regularly performing these long stretches after the body was warmed up, the practitioner aimed to gradually increase her posterior chain flexibility, thereby allowing a fuller range of motion into anterior pelvic tilt and spinal extension.

Throughout the intervention, the practitioner maintained a reflective journal, noting quantitative milestones (e.g. duration of sitting without pain, changes in meditation duration, any measured changes in spinal curvature via photography) and qualitative observations (energy levels, comfort, body awareness). No formal pain or disability questionnaire was used, but she tracked her discomfort on an informal 0–10 scale before and after sessions, and noted improvements in daily life activities (such as ease of sitting at work, posture while walking).

Outcome Measures

Given the autoethnographic nature, outcome assessment was primarily

qualitative and observational. However, the following outcomes were monitored:

- **Spinal Curvature and Posture:** Changes in lumbar lordosis and sacral tilt were observed via photos (side-view) taken at baseline, 6 months, and 12 months in a standing posture and in cross-legged sitting (with and without props). Additionally, the wall-test was used: initially, the practitioner's lower back could be almost flat against the wall (indicating reduced lordosis); over time, a small gap (~2 cm) appeared, consistent with regained lordosis. No radiographic measurements were taken, but visual inspection by two yoga teacher colleagues provided external validation that her lumbar curve increased.

- **Sitting Endurance:** The maximum duration of comfortable seated meditation (without fidgeting or pain) was recorded. At baseline, it was ~5 minutes before significant discomfort; by the end, she could sit 30 minutes on the floor without pain, and over 1 hour with minimal support (e.g. small cushion).

- **Flexibility and Strength:** Proxy measures such as the sit-and-reach test (for hamstring flexibility) and the ability to perform certain poses were noted. For strength, the practitioner tracked her ability to perform repetitions of bridging and chair pose, and noted increased stability in one-leg balance poses (indicating gluteus medius strength).

- **Subjective Well-being:** Diary entries described reductions in lower back stiffness, increased confidence in posture, and improved focus during meditation once pain was no longer a distraction.

Data from the journal and observations are synthesized narratively in the Results. Being a self-study, no statistical analysis was applicable. The project was conducted as a personal therapeutic endeavor; nonetheless, informed consent is inherently present (the author as participant) and the principles of honesty and transparency in reporting were upheld.

Results

1. Postural Improvements

Over the course of the intervention, the practitioner achieved notable improvements in spinal alignment. By month 12, her standing and sitting postures showed a restored lumbar lordosis visibly apparent in profile. Whereas initially her low back was almost flat when standing (pelvis tucked under), she gradually regained a gentle inward curve in the lower spine. This corresponded with a slight increase in anterior pelvic tilt: from essentially 0° (pelvis neutral) at baseline to an estimated ~10° forward tilt post-intervention, approximating normal alignment. She reported that her sacrum now “tips forward” naturally when she sits on the floor, rather than rolling under as before. The wall-assisted measures corroborated this change – for example, when standing against a wall, she now has a small gap at the low back (enough for her fingers to slide through), indicating the presence of lordotic curvature, which was absent initially. Importantly, these changes were stable without external support: by the end of training, the practitioner could sit cross-legged on a flat mat (no bolster) with an upright spine and only a mild cushion, maintaining the posture for extended periods. This represents a clear functional gain, as previously she had to lean against a wall or pile multiple cushions to achieve a semblance of upright posture.

The changes in muscle activation and balance were also evident. The practitioner noted that engaging her core and gluteal muscles to maintain posture became more instinctive. In the early phase, she often felt the lower back and neck doing all the work to sit straight, with quick onset of fatigue. After training, she described a sensation of the “lower body carrying more of the load” – meaning her pelvic stabilizers (gluteus maximus/medius, hip flexors, pelvic floor) and core were co-activating to support her spine. This corresponds to the goal of proper muscle balance: in good posture, a balance

between hip flexors and extensors is needed[1]. By strengthening her glutes and core and increasing hip flexor mobility, she achieved a scenario closer to this ideal balance. For instance, she could hold Virabhadrasana III (warrior III, a single-leg balance with torso forward) for 20 seconds by the end, whereas initially she would topple or feel hamstring cramps within seconds – an improvement indicating better gluteal engagement and hamstring length. These functional gains align with known corrective strategies: weak, lengthened muscles (like glute max in flat-back posture) need strengthening, and tight muscles (like hamstrings) need lengthening[1]. The intervention's outcome supports this, as her gluteus maximus went from hypotonic to strong enough to maintain pelvic alignment, and her hamstrings from painfully tight to more pliable.

1. Enhanced Sitting Endurance and Comfort

One of the most striking results was the increase in meditative sitting endurance. At baseline, the practitioner could barely manage 5–10 minutes of cross-legged sitting (even with a cushion) before feeling intense lower back pull and leg numbness. She often had to abandon seated meditation or use a chair. By the midpoint (6 months), she could sit for 30 minutes with a single cushion under the sacrum, reporting only mild stiffness afterwards. At the 12-month mark, she successfully completed a 1-hour meditation session on the floor, using a small folded blanket as support, with minimal discomfort. This progress was not linear – it involved incremental milestones (e.g., first 15 minutes without pain, then 20, and so on), but overall demonstrates a six-fold increase in comfortable sitting duration. Qualitatively, the nature of discomfort changed as well: early on, she felt sharp lower back pain and a sense of “collapse” in the spine; later, if any discomfort arose, it was more of a dull muscular ache from holding erect posture, which she identified

as normal muscle engagement rather than pathological pain. Additionally, she noted improved circulation in her legs during sitting – initially her feet would tingle or fall asleep quickly (likely due to poor pelvic positioning compressing blood flow), but with the new alignment and maybe improved hip opening, that issue lessened. These observations echo findings in therapeutic yoga that proper alignment and use of props allow poses to be held longer, improving circulation and comfort[4].

The practitioner's subjective well-being and confidence in daily life also improved. She reported a significant reduction in chronic low back ache by around 8 months into the program. Tasks like standing in line or sitting at a desk became less taxing as her postural muscles had greater endurance. She also found that she no longer instinctively sought back support in chairs; she could sit away from the chair back for moderate periods, maintaining her own posture. Interestingly, this case also noted positive effects on mental state: as meditation sessions grew longer and more comfortable, she experienced deeper concentration and relaxation. The synergy between physical alignment and mental focus became apparent – with less pain distraction, her meditation quality improved, which in turn motivated her to continue the physical practice.

2. Role of Fascia and Flexibility Changes

A hypothesized mechanism of change was the plastic elongation of fascia and connective tissues due to sustained stretches and prolonged holds in alignment. The results support this: over time, the practitioner's hamstrings and hip flexors exhibited greater flexibility (e.g., forward bend depth improved by ~10° and hip extension in lunges increased). She described feeling “more space” in the pelvic region when tilting her pelvis forward, suggesting the tissues that once resisted this motion had acclimated. In line with fascial research, the long-duration

poses likely promoted gradual remodeling of the collagenous tissues. Connective tissue has viscoelastic properties, meaning it can slowly deform and reset under constant tension (creep). In this case, sitting with a slight anterior pelvic tilt for 30+ minutes daily may have induced a mild stretch in the thoracolumbar fascia and ligaments of the spine. According to mechanobiology studies, even 10 minutes of sustained stretch can trigger cellular responses that lead to structural changes in tissue[7]. The practitioner's progress – needing progressively less prop height to achieve the same pelvic tilt – implies that her tissues adapted, allowing the pelvis to naturally tip forward more. Likewise, her increased range in a seated forward fold (measured by how far she could reach or angle of hip flexion) suggests hamstring and sciatic nerve mobility improvements. Furthermore, the combination of dynamic asana practice and static holds likely improved the thixotropy of fascia – basically making the tissues more pliable and less stiff. She often reported that after each yoga session, her back felt “looser” and she stood taller for hours afterwards. These short-term changes eventually accumulated into long-term flexibility gains, a well-documented phenomenon when a consistent stretching routine is maintained.

3. Neuromuscular Adaptation and Biotensegrity Correction

The case results also highlight significant neuromuscular adaptation. Over the training period, the practitioner essentially re-trained her body's posture habit. Initially, maintaining an arched low back required conscious effort and felt unnatural. By the end, it became her default posture due to muscle memory. This reflects the creation of new neural pathways and motor patterns – a form of neuroplasticity in the context of posture. She developed better proprioception of her lumbopelvic position; for example, she could sense when she was slouching and self-correct by engaging her core and

tilting her pelvis without needing a mirror or prompt. Such internalized awareness is a key goal in yoga therapy, often achieved through mindful repetition of alignment cues. Additionally, the improved synchronization between muscle groups (abdominals, glutes, back extensors) indicates enhanced neuromuscular coordination. Her core stability tests (like holding plank) improved, suggesting her deep stabilizers (transverse abdominis, multifidus) became more active – likely reducing the reflexive inhibition that can occur with chronic poor posture[1]. In essence, the practice helped “wake up” dormant muscles (sometimes colloquially called “sleepy glutes”), consistent with the idea that targeted exercise can overcome gluteal inhibition[2].

From a biotensegrity standpoint, the practitioner's body moved towards a more balanced tensional integrity. Biotensegrity models describe the body as a continuous tension network (muscles, fascia) supporting discontinuous compression elements (bones)[8]. In flat back syndrome, that network's equilibrium is disturbed – some tension elements (like hamstrings) are too taut, while others (like anterior hip ligaments/muscles) are too slack, and the bony alignment is suboptimal. Through the intervention, tension was re-distributed: previously underloaded structures (gluteal fascia, abdominal fascia) took on more tension as they strengthened, and overloaded ones (hamstrings, lumbar fascia) were relieved and lengthened. One could say her body's “tensegrity architecture” was recalibrated. The vivid description by Sharkey (2018) that the myofascial system provides a “vast ocean within which the bones float”[8] is apt – by the end, the practitioner's bones (spine, pelvis) were more optimally “floating” in a supportive sea of balanced muscle and fascia tension, rather than being dragged out of alignment by one-sided pulls. This was evidenced by her balanced posture and the absence of local hotspots of tension she once felt. In

practical terms, she no longer had the feeling of her upper back hunching or lower back flattening as before; instead, her spine felt uniformly supported.

Summary of Key Outcomes

In summary, this autoethnographic case demonstrated that a dedicated yoga therapy regimen led to:

- **Structural Changes:** Restoration of lumbar lordosis and sacral anterior tilt, as observed visually and functionally. This suggests even in mid-life, spinal posture can be improved non-surgically, confirming prior case evidence of lordosis improvement through conservative means[3].
- **Muscular Adaptations:** Increased strength and activation of the gluteal and core muscles, reduced hamstring and hip flexor tightness, and improved muscle balance. The practitioner's profile shifted from the flat-back muscle pattern (weak glutes/hip flexors, tight hamstrings) towards a healthier profile with stronger extensors and more supple flexors[1].
- **Functional Gains:** Markedly improved ability to sit upright in meditation for prolonged periods without pain, translating to better quality of life (e.g., comfortable sitting at work, improved meditative practice).
- **Mechanistic Insights:** Evidence of fascial plasticity (as her flexibility increased and support needs decreased) and neuroplastic learning (as good posture became automatic). These underline the importance of long duration and mindful practice – slow change allowed tissues to adapt and the nervous system to recalibrate posture control.

No adverse effects were reported. The process was gradual and required patience; occasional minor muscle soreness occurred (expected with strengthening exercises) but there were no injuries. The practitioner emphasized that self-awareness was crucial to avoid overexertion, and that props were indispensable initially to prevent aggravating any pain.

Discussion

This case study provides a detailed account of how prop-supported yoga and strategic strengthening can rehabilitate a flattened lumbar curve and its associated dysfunctions. The findings resonate with fundamental principles of posture correction and yoga therapy, offering several points of discussion in context of existing literature and theoretical frameworks.

1. Efficacy of Prop-Supported Alignment:

The successful outcome underscores the value of props in yoga therapeutic interventions. In line with Iyengar yoga methods, the use of props enabled the practitioner to achieve optimal alignment from day one – even when her body was not yet capable of it unaided. By supporting the pelvis in an anterior tilt with cushions and the spine with a wall or bolster, she could maintain a correct posture passively and safely for extended durations. This is critical because it provided a steady, gentle stimulus to her body in the desired alignment, essentially teaching her nervous system and tissues the new normal. Evans et al. (2013) similarly reported that props allowed a pediatric chronic pain patient to hold poses long enough to gain therapeutic benefit, facilitating circulation and strength without aggravating pain[4]. In our case, props were the bridge that made meditation – a potentially static, strenuous activity for someone with postural issues – accessible and healing. The case also highlights that props are not a crutch to be avoided, but rather a tool to deepen practice: by enabling longer holds, they likely increased the extent of connective tissue stretch and neuromuscular engagement available[4]. Over time, as the practitioner improved, the reliance on props was reduced – illustrating a weaning process as capacity increases. This approach can be generalized to others with similar issues: starting with a higher support (e.g., a thick cushion or bench for sitting) and gradually lowering it over weeks/months as lordosis improves. The psychological aspect should

also be noted – being able to sit without immediate pain thanks to props likely improved the practitioner’s confidence and psychological outlook, creating a positive feedback loop of practice and improvement.

2. Fascia and Biotensegrity in Postural Rehabilitation: The role of fascia (connective tissue) and the concept of biotensegrity provide a compelling explanation for the lasting changes observed. Fascia is not just passive packing material; it is innervated, plastic, and responsive to mechanical forces[8] Long-held yoga stretches have been theorized to hydrate and elongate fascial tissues, improving their glide and extensibility[9]. In this case, the daily extended holds in meditative postures likely promoted fascial remodeling in areas like the lumbar fascia, IT band, and hip joint capsule. Research by Schleip and others suggests that slow stretching can trigger a lower viscoelastic stiffness in fascia and even cellular responses that reorganize collagen fibers[7]. Moreover, recent studies show fascia’s stiffness can be altered via mechanotransduction – cells sensing sustained stretch can lead to lasting tissue flexibility changes[7]. Our practitioner’s progress – needing less external tilt support and feeling more at ease in alignment – is consistent with such changes. She essentially “trained” her fascial system to accommodate a greater lordosis. From a biotensegrity perspective, initial flat-back syndrome represented a suboptimal tensional network: key tension members (the paraspinal and posterior chain fascia) were possibly shortened and pulling the spine straight, while anterior elements were lax. The intervention rebalanced this. By stretching posterior tissues and strengthening anterior ones (like psoas and core), the tensional forces across the spine normalized. Sharkey (2018) described that in a biotensegrity-focused anatomy, muscles and fascia provide a continuous tension system in which bones float[8]. After our

intervention, the spine “floated” in a more neutral, centered position, no longer dragged flat by uneven tension.

Another fascinating connection is the timing aspect: the practitioner held poses for 30+ minutes at times, which may seem long, but biotensegrity at the cellular level operates on a timescale of minutes to induce change. As noted in one review, about 10 minutes of sustained tension can cause cells (like fibroblasts) to start realigning and altering extracellular matrix deposition[7]. Thus, the long meditative holds weren’t just mentally transformative – they could be physically remodeling her tissues at the microscopic level. This integrative view of yoga aligns with the idea that ancient practice was affecting fascia and tensegrity unknowingly, something modern science is catching up to[8].

3. Neuromuscular Re-education and Motor Control: The case illustrates that improving posture is as much about the nervous system as the musculoskeletal system. Through repetitive practice of aligning and engaging the right muscles, the practitioner developed new motor patterns. Initially, she had to consciously activate her glutes or remind herself to tilt the pelvis; later, these actions became subconscious reflexes. This change speaks to motor learning – the principles of which are well established in rehabilitation. Frequent, mindful repetition of a movement (or posture) strengthens neural pathways, making the action more efficient and permanent. Yoga is particularly suited for this because it inherently involves awareness and concentration on body position and movement. In this case, each yoga session was essentially a form of postural retraining under guidance of breath and concentration. The improvement in her balance and core stability tests also suggests enhanced proprioception and intermuscular coordination. Literature supports that yoga practice can improve proprioception and balance by challenging the neuromuscular

system in various poses[10]. Here, as her physical alignment normalized, her body likely received clearer sensory feedback (e.g., joint receptors in the spine and hips signaling proper alignment rather than distress), further reinforcing the correct posture.

It is also noteworthy that gluteus maximus strengthening had broad effects, given the glute max's role as both a prime mover and a stabilizer of the SI joint and lumbar region[2]. Her improved glute function likely stabilized the base of her spine (sacrum) more firmly, reducing strain on the lumbar discs and ligaments. This could explain why her back pain diminished – a stable lumbo-pelvic base offloads the spine during activities. Buckthorpe et al. (2019) emphasize that glute max weakness contributes to poor biomechanics and various pain syndromes, and conversely, restoring glute strength is key for rehabilitation[2]. Our case validates that notion in the context of postural correction.

4. Autoethnography and Holistic Self-Care: On a methodological note, this autoethnographic approach showcases the value of self-experimentation and holistic self-care in healing. The practitioner combined knowledge of anatomy, yoga, and her own intuition to craft a regimen that addressed her specific weaknesses – an individualized therapy, which is a cornerstone of yoga therapy philosophy[10]. Her dual role as subject and researcher provided rich insight into the day-to-day process of change: the emotional highs of breakthroughs, the plateaus where progress felt slow, and the adjustments made along the way. Such granularity is often lost in clinical trials but is captured in case studies. The result adds to the narrative evidence that yoga, especially when adapted with props and therapeutic intent, can be a powerful tool for musculoskeletal rehabilitation. It complements controlled studies by providing a hypothesis-generating example: if one person can correct flat-

back posture with these methods, perhaps a structured program for others could be developed and tested. It also highlights the importance of patience and consistency – changes took months, aligning with the slow nature of fascial and postural adaptation. This could inform practitioners and patients that persistence in practice is critical, and short-term efforts may not yield immediate results, but long-term practice can truly remodel the body.

5. Limitations: While the outcomes are encouraging, this is a single case without external objective measurements like X-rays or force plate analysis. Thus, improvements in curvature were not quantified in degrees. However, the convergence of multiple observations (photos, functional ability, subjective reports) strengthens the credibility of the changes. Another limitation is generalizability – this individual had the motivation, knowledge, and body awareness to commit to a daily practice, which might not replicate easily in a broader population without guidance. Nonetheless, as a proof-of-concept, it illustrates what is possible. Future studies could involve multiple participants following a similar protocol, with pre-post radiological assessments of lumbar curvature and validated pain/disability scales to objectively measure outcomes. Additionally, because this was a holistic program, we cannot isolate which component was most critical – was it the props, the strengthening, or the stretching? It is likely the synergy of all components that produced success, reflecting yoga's integrative nature.

Conclusion

This autoethnographic case study demonstrates that even long-standing postural deviations such as flat back syndrome can be effectively addressed through an integrative yoga-based regimen. By combining prop-supported meditative posture (asanas), wall-assisted alignment techniques, and progressive Hathayoga asanas strengthening, the

practitioner achieved restoration of lumbar lordosis and sacral tilt, leading to enhanced postural endurance and comfort.

Key mechanisms included fascial plasticity, where prolonged and mindful holds encouraged connective tissue remodeling, and neuromuscular re-education, whereby repeated alignment-based movement reprogrammed motor patterns. The improvements observed—both structural and functional—highlight the body’s capacity for adaptive change through low-risk, non-invasive interventions.

Notably, the application of biotensegrity principles provides a unifying framework to understand the practitioner’s transformation: by redistributing tension and restoring balance across the myofascial network, structural harmony was re-established. This study reinforces yoga’s potential not merely as a fitness tool but as a comprehensive self-therapeutic and neuro-muscular retraining system.

For yoga therapists, clinicians, and rehabilitation specialists, this case offers a replicable template for addressing postural dysfunctions holistically. Future studies should integrate objective postural measurements and possibly biofeedback to quantify the observed changes. The convergence of ancient embodied wisdom and modern fascia science can provide powerful, person-centered healing modalities that restore both posture and inner awareness.

References

1. Czaprowski, D., Stoliński, Ł., Tyrakowski, M., Kozinoga, M., & Kotwicki, T. (2018). Non structural misalignments of body posture in the sagittal plane. *Scoliosis and Spinal Disorders*, 13(1), Article 6. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13013-018-0151-5>
2. Buckthorpe, M., Stride, M., & Della Villa, F. (2019). Assessing and treating gluteus maximus weakness – a clinical commentary. *International Journal of Sports Physical Therapy*, 14(4), 655–669. <https://doi.org/10.26603/ijsp20190655>

Future Directions

While this case offers rich experiential and anatomical insights, future research could build upon it by:

- Engaging more participants in long-term, fascia-focused asana practice.
- Incorporating radiological and biomechanical assessments (e.g., X-rays, EMG).
- Comparing prop-assisted meditative yoga with conventional physiotherapy in postural rehabilitation outcomes.
- Exploring the neuro-emotional dimension of meditative posture recovery.

Acknowledgement

The author sincerely thanks Dr. Shashikant Mani Tripathi, Research Guide, Department of Yoga, for his academic guidance and continuous encouragement throughout the course of this study. His support helped shape the research structure and align it with yogic and scientific principles.

Gratitude is also extended to Dr. Akhilesh Kumar Singh, Dean, Ayurveda and Dean Research SAM Global university, Bhopal, INDIA, for providing institutional support and facilitating the completion of this work within a nurturing academic environment.

The author further acknowledges the role of AI-based language assistance (ChatGPT by OpenAI) in refining the articulation, organizing references, and enhancing clarity in the final manuscript. All interpretations, practices, and conclusions, however, remain the sole responsibility and lived experience of the author.

3. Harrison, D. E., & Oakley, P. A. (2018). Non-operative correction of flat back syndrome using lumbar extension traction: A CBP® case series of two. *Journal of Physical Therapy Science*, 30(6), 844–850. <https://www.researchgate.net/publication/326852561>
4. Evans, S., Moieni, M., Taub, R., Subramanian, S. K., Tsao, J. C., & Zeltzer, L. K. (2013). Iyengar yoga and the use of props for pediatric chronic pain: A case study. *Children*, 3(2), 253–268. <https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC3836371/>
5. Taylor, M. J., & Gutterman, D. D. (2016). Meditation and the autonomic nervous system: Clinical implications. *Medical Hypotheses*, 90, 32–36. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mehy.2016.02.002>
6. Findley, T. W. (2009). Fascia research from a clinician/scientist's perspective. *International Journal of Therapeutic Massage & Bodywork*, 2(4), 10–13. <https://doi.org/10.3822/ijtmb.v2i4.62>
7. Bordoni, B., Varacallo, M. A., Morabito, B., & Simonelli, M. (2019, June 3). Biotensegrity or Fascintegrit? *Cureus*, 11(6), e4819. <https://doi.org/10.7759/cureus.4819>
8. Sharkey, J. (2018). Biotensegrity: The human body as a tensegrity structure. *Journal of Yoga and Physical Therapy*, 8(1). <https://juniperpublishers.com/jyp/pdf/JYP.MS.ID.555680.pdf>
9. Wilke, J., Krause, F., Vogt, L., Banzer, W. (2016). What is evidence-based about myofascial chains: A systematic review. *Archives of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation*, 97(3), 454–461. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apmr.2015.07.023>
10. Woodyard, C. (2011). Exploring the therapeutic effects of yoga and its ability to increase quality of life. *International Journal of Yoga*, 4(2), 49–54. <https://doi.org/10.4103/0973-6131.85485>

Supplementary Resources

These practitioner-oriented or illustrative online sources were used for general context and visual examples, not as primary scientific evidence.

1. Iyengar, B. K. S. (1979). *Light on Yoga*. Schocken Books.
2. Iyengar, B. K. S. (2005). *Light on Life: The Yoga Journey to Wholeness, Inner Peace, and Ultimate Freedom*. Rodale.
3. Iyengar, B. K. S. (2001). *The Path to Holistic Health*. DK Publishing.
4. Markow, M. (2023, March 30). How fascia impacts movement. Markow Training Systems. <https://www.markowtrainingsystems.com/2023/03/30/how-fascia-impacts-movement/>
5. Mindful Minutes. (n.d.). How to sit for meditation: Tips for posture and alignment. <https://mindfulminutes.com/how-to-sit-for-meditation/>
6. Yoga Journal. (n.d.). Fascia fitness: A yoga sequence for fascial release. <https://www.yogajournal.com/practice/yoga-sequences/fascia-fitness-a-yoga-sequence-for-fascial-release/>